This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/058,301 | | 01/30/2002 | Kaoru Katoh | 053466-0321 | 7349 | |
| 22428 | 7590 | 09/25/2003 | • | | 10 | |
| | FOLEY AND LARDNER | | | | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007 | | | | BAHTA, ABRAHAM | | |
| WASHING | TON, DC | 20007 | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 1775 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. Applicant(s) 10/058,301 KATOH ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Abraham Bahta 1775 | |
|--|---------|
| Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Abraham Bahta 1775 | |
| Abraham Bahta 1775 | |
| | |
| | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | • |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timety filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | tion. |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 August 2003. | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit | s is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | , |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic | ation). |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachment(s) | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | _• |

Application/Control Number: 10/058,301 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-4) in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the term "fine object" is indefinite as it is not known what is encompassed by "fine object".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al (USP 5,218,757).

Kaneko teaches a thin carbon rod or microelectrode (col. 5, line 39-45) comprising crystalline carbon (col. 5, lines 23-30) and a glass-like matter produced by carbonizing an organic material. See col. 6, lines 27-31. In addition, the reference teaches the composite carbon material

Application/Control Number: 10/058,301 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

may have an oriented system such that end surfaces of highly developed graphite crystals are arranged to be perpendicular to the electrode surface. See col. 6, lines 32-36. The thin carbon rod or microelectrode may be used to prick a living body and examine food for electrochemical detection. See col. 2, line 63 through col. 3, line 7.

Regarding claim 4, the reference teaches in the tapered carbon microelectrode, the diameter of the thick end portion of the tapered carbon thin rod may be 5mm-0.lmm and that of the thin end portion may be 0.1 mm or less and according to PROKON-METRIC CONVERSION 0.1mm is 100 micrometer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko '757.

As discussed above, Kaneko teaches applicant's claimed invention except the amount of the crystalline carbon as recited in claim 3; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select an appropriate amount of the crystalline powder. Motivation for such modification is provided at col. 6, lines 45-57 of Kaneko which teaches the amount of the crystalline carbon to be compounded varies depending on the type of the organic binder which

Application/Control Number: 10/058,301 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

produces the glass-like matter and the diameter of the electrode desired and the ultimate use of the product.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

With respect to Kaneko, the applicant argues the reference to Kaneko does not teach or suggest the claimed invention because the reference does not disclose a carbon microrod that has a fine object adsorbed to the microrod. The Examiner contends that Kaneko's microrod is designed to measure, detect, analyze and evaluate clinical living body samples and environmental samples containing components to be measured only in extremely small amounts and many compounds coexisting therewith. See col. 1, lines 11-19, lines 25-29 and col. 2, line 63 through col. 3, line 7. Therefor, the limitation such that "fine object adsorbed thereto" is inherent.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1775

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Abraham Bahta at telephone number (703) 308-4412.

The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 11:30 AM -8:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Deborah, Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-3822.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. Bahta

09/11/03